

DATE: September 12, 2013

TO: Lana Fisher, Principal

SUBJECT: Bluebonnet ES - IAQ - Inspection & Air Test - Rooms 301, 303, 304, 305, 306, 307

Yesterday 9/11/13, I received W.O. #164479: "Could you please come and check the air quality in rooms 301,303,204,305,306 and 307 - musty smells in rooms". This morning 9/12, I inspected those rooms and found no water intrusion. I will be setting up a P.O. request to have Southwest GeoScience to Air Test those rooms. The Air Tests will be done by Tuesday 9/17, and we should have the results back by Thursday 9/19. If you have any questions, please call me.

Thanks,

Paul

Paul Siddall
Special Projects Manager (IAQ)
Facility Services
Lewisville ISD
469-446-8882

DATE: September 26, 2013

TO: Lana Fisher, Principal

SUBJECT: Bluebonnet ES - IAQ - Air Test Results - Rooms 301, 303, 304, 305, 306 and 307

On Monday 9/16, SWG Air tested the Rooms 301, 303, 304, 305, 306 and 307. It is typically assumed that indoor spore levels in an area with filtered or air conditioned air, and activity levels associated with schools average 10% to 40% of the outdoor levels. Data from the airborne fungi sampling indicated that the total indoor concentration of mold/fungi in the Room 301, was **12.9%**, Room 303, was **13.3%**, Room 304, was **6.8%**, Room 305, was **9.8%**, Room 306, was **15.0%**, Room 307, was **40.0%**, of the outdoor levels. Utilizing this theory, the indoor concentrations are within the acceptable guidelines for areas with filtered air or air conditioning. **Even though room 307 is at the 40%, and within the acceptable guidelines, we are going to have Custodial steam clean the carpets this weekend, and then retest next week.**

If you have any questions, please call me.

Thanks,

Paul

Paul Siddall
Maintenance Energy Auditor (IAQ)
Facility Services
Lewisville ISD
469-446-8882

DATE: October 7, 2013

TO: Lana Fisher, Principal

SUBJECT: Bluebonnet ES - IAQ - Air Re-Test results - Room 307

On Thursday 10/3, SWG Air tested the Room 307. It is typically assumed that indoor spore levels in an area with filtered or air conditioned air, and activity levels associated with schools average 10% to 40% of the outdoor levels. Data from the airborne fungi sampling indicated that the total indoor concentration of mold/fungi in the Room 307, was **9.6%** of the outdoor levels. Utilizing this theory, the indoor concentrations are well within the acceptable guidelines for areas with filtered air or air conditioning. If you have any questions, please call me.

Thanks,

Paul

Paul Siddall
Maintenance Energy Auditor (IAQ)
Facility Services
Lewisville ISD
469-446-8882

Southwest GEOSCIENCE

2351 W. Northwest Hwy., Suite 3321
Dallas, Texas 75220
Ph: (214) 350-5469
Fax: (214) 350-2914

October 10, 2013

Lewisville Independent School District
340 Lake Haven
Lewisville, Texas 75057
Attn: Mr. Paul Siddall

Re: Limited Mold Assessment Services
Retest
Bluebonnet Elementary School
Room 307
200 Spinks Road
Flower Mound, Texas
SWG Project No. 0113H226A

Introduction

Southwest Geoscience (SWG) conducted limited mold assessment activities for the Lewisville Independent School District (Lewisville I.S.D.) within Bluebonnet Elementary School located at 2000 Spinks Road in Flower Mound, Texas (hereinafter referred to as the "Site"). The investigation was limited to areas of the Site identified by Lewisville I.S.D. as described below. The assessment was performed by Mr. Darren G. Bowden, a State of Texas licensed Mold Assessment Consultant (License #MAC0321), on October 7, 2013. SWG's mold services definitions and limitations are included as an attachment to this report.

Investigation Areas

Lewisville I.S.D. identified the following physical portions of the Site as the target investigation areas ("Investigation Areas") for mold assessment: readily accessible areas within Room 307. SWG's mold assessment services were limited to the Investigation Area(s) identified by Lewisville, I.S.D. Additional areas or portions of the Site were out-of-scope and not included in SWG's mold assessment or this report at this time.

Scope of Work

As set forth in SWG's Mold Assessment Proposal No. 0113H1341 dated October 1, 2013. SWG's scope-of-work was to provide visual and/or analytical mold assessment and related services in the Investigation Areas which included:

Visual Reconnaissance: SWG performed a visual reconnaissance of the Investigation Areas for visible indications of moisture intrusion (as indicated by staining or visible moisture) and/or suspect mold growth. SWG's visual reconnaissance only included readily accessible or visible portions of the Investigation Areas.

Suspect Mold Growth Sampling and Analysis: SWG collected limited ambient air samples for nonviable mold spores utilizing Air-O-Cell cassettes. "Air-O-Cell" refers to slit impaction air sampling cassettes manufactured by Zefon Analytical Accessories, St. Petersburg, Florida.

Site Reconnaissance Observations/Findings and Recommendations

SWG's Mold Assessment Site reconnaissance was performed on October 7, 2013 by Mr. Darren G. Bowden. SWG's visual reconnaissance of the Investigation areas revealed the following:

Temperature and Relative Humidity

Temperature readings collected inside the investigation areas on October 7, 2013 ranged from 74.1 to 74.8 degrees Fahrenheit while relative humidity ranged from 51.7 to 52.3 percent.

Temperature readings collected outside the building ranged from 80.4 to 81.3 degrees Fahrenheit while outside relative humidity ranged from 74.3 to 77.7 percent.

Relative humidity is a measure of the moisture content of air and is closely tied to the comfort of the office/workplace temperature. As with temperature, there are no regulations governing acceptable office/workplace humidity ranges. Humidity levels in the office/work place are not only related to health effects, but also have operational impacts on modern office equipment.

Workplace/office temperatures have historically been considered a subjective factor because the perception of uncomfortable temperature levels is specific to each individual. There are no regulations governing acceptable office/workplace temperature ranges, but significant research has been conducted which indicates that there are temperature ranges that are not only comfortable but also result in optimum performance. ASHRAE (American Society of Heating, Refrigerating & Air Conditioning Engineers) has published guidelines describing thermal environmental conditions that at least 80% of the persons who occupy that environment will find acceptable or "comfortable." Table I below explains the applicable limits and guidelines.

Relative Humidity	Winter Temperatures	Summer Temperatures
30%	68.5 to 76°F	74 to 80°F
40%	68.5 to 75.5°F	73 to 79.5°F
50%	68.5 to 74.5°F	73 to 79°F
60%	68 to 74°F	72.5 to 78°F

Air Monitoring Results

SWG collected 1 (1) sample from the interior of the building and two (2) samples from the exterior of the building. The microbial samples were analyzed by Steve Moody Micro Services, Inc. (SMMS) in Farmers Branch, Texas; SMMS is a State of Texas licensed mold analysis laboratory and accredited under the AIHA Laboratory Quality Assurance Program for Environmental Microbiology.

Air testing performed using spore traps found that airborne mold spores in the room were considerably lower and were qualitatively similar to those measured outside of the building at the time the sampling was performed. Total fungal spore concentration within the investigation area was reported as 3,600 counts/m³ reported, while the exterior level ranged from 27,040 to 37,320 counts/m³.

One (1) type of mold was identified at a higher concentration within the investigation area as compared to the sample collected from the exterior of the building. Pithomyces was reported as 20 counts/m³ while no exterior levels were reported.

The American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH) sets forth assessment criteria related to the "indoor/outdoor" relationship where the indoor air quality should be at or below that of outdoor air quality with regard to fungal spores (see ACGIH Bioaerosols, Assessment and Controls publication, 1999).

Suspect Mold

No visible mold was observed during the assessment. No odors or excessive dust were noted.

Conclusions and Recommendations

Based on SWG's limited assessment and the analytical results, it appears that the indoor air quality, as it relates to airborne fungi, was within recommended guidelines.

If you have any questions regarding this report or if we can assist you with any other matter, please contact the undersigned at (214) 350-5469.

Sincerely,
Southwest Geoscience



Darren G. Bowden
Corporate Director
Industrial Hygiene Services
Texas Mold Assessment Consultant
Lic. No. MAC0321

Attachments: Analytical Results/Chain of Custody
Mold Services Definitions & Limitations/Standard of Care and Reliance

Analytical Results/Chain of Custody

IAQ Mold Report

Steve Moody Micro Services, LLC
 2051 Valley View Lane
 Farmers Branch, TX 75234 Phone: (972) 241-8460

Summary

DSHS License No.: LAB0117
 AIHA EMPAT ID: 102577

Client : Southwest Geoscience - Dallas, TX **Lab Job No.** 13F-10991
Project : Bluebonnet Elementary, Room 307 **Report Date** 10/07/2013 9:11 AM
Project # : 0113H226A **Sample Date :** 10/03/2013
Sample Type: Spore Trap, Non-cultured **Spore Trap Type:** Zefon - Air-O-Cell
Test Method: Mold: ASTM D7391-09 - Expanded Profile Page 1 of 2

On 10/3/2013, three (3) samples were submitted by Darren Bowden of Southwest Geoscience - Dallas, TX (located at 2351 W NW Hwy #3321, Dallas, TX 75220) for Spore Trap, Non-cultured mold analysis. This report consists of three sections; a summary section, a data detail section, and an analytical notes section.

Sample Number	Volume (liters)	Sample Description	Identification	Concentration spores&particles/m ³		
BB1	150	Room 307 * See Analytical Notes report for further details	Alternaria	140		
			Aspergillus / Penicillium	360		
			Basidiospores	1560		
			Cladosporium	380		
			Coprinus	80		
			Curvularia	260		
			Drechslera / Bipolaris group	240		
			Hyphal / Spore Fragments	460		
			Myxomycete / Periconia / Rust / Smut	100		
			Pithomyces	20		
			Total:			3600
			BB2	75	Outside	Agaricus / Agrocybe
Alternaria	80					
Ascospores	1360					
Aspergillus / Penicillium	3280					
Basidiospores	15120					
Cercospora / Pseudocercospora	240					
Cladosporium	2480					
Coprinus	1640					
Curvularia	120					
Drechslera / Bipolaris group	160					
Hyphal / Spore Fragments	960					
Myxomycete / Periconia / Rust / Smut	960					
Nigrospora	80					
Total:						27040

IAQ Mold Report

Steve Moody Micro Services, LLC
 2051 Valley View Lane
 Farmers Branch, TX 75234 Phone: (972) 241-8460

Summary

DSHS License No.: LAB0117
 AIHA EMPAT ID: 102577

Client : Southwest Geoscience - Dallas, TX **Lab Job No.** 13F-10991
Project : Bluebonnet Elementary, Room 307 **Report Date** 10/07/2013 9:11 AM
Project # : 0113H226A **Sample Date :** 10/03/2013
Sample Type: Spore Trap, Non-cultured **Spore Trap Type:** Zefon - Air-O-Cell
Test Method: Mold: ASTM D7391-09 - Expanded Profile Page 2 of 2

On 10/3/2013, three (3) samples were submitted by Darren Bowden of Southwest Geoscience - Dallas, TX (located at 2351 W NW Hwy #3321, Dallas, TX 75220) for Spore Trap, Non-cultured mold analysis. This report consists of three sections; a summary section, a data detail section, and an analytical notes section.

Sample Number	Volume (liters)	Sample Description	Identification	Concentration spores&particles/m ³
BB3	75	Outside	Agaricus / Agrocybe	560
			Alternaria	160
			Ascospores	1920
			Aspergillus / Penicillium	5160
			Basidiospores	18240
			Cercospora / Pseudocercospora	80
			Cladosporium	5520
			Coprinus	2080
			Curvularia	280
			Drechslera / Bipolaris group	600
			Fusarium	80
			Hyphal / Spore Fragments	1280
			Myxomycete / Periconia / Rust / Smut	1360
			Total:	37320

Results may not be reported except in full. Data contained in this test report relates only to the samples tested. This report does not express or imply interpretation of the results contained herein. Interpretation should be made by a qualified professional.

Steve Moody Micro Services assumes no responsibility for the manner in which these samples were collected or handled prior to being received at this laboratory. SMMS assumes no responsibility for the qualifications of personnel performing sampling and/or interpretations of this data.

Analyst(s): Rob Greene

Lab Director: Bruce Crabb

Approved Signatory :



Thank you for choosing Steve Moody Micro Services

IAQ Mold Report

Steve Moody Micro Services, LLC
 2051 Valley View Lane
 Farmers Branch, TX 75234 Phone: (972) 241-8460

Data Detail

DSHS License No.: LAB0117
 AIHA EMPAT ID: 102577

Client : Southwest Geoscience - Dallas, TX **Lab Job No. :** 13F-10991
Project : Bluebonnet Elementary, Room 307 **Report Date :** 10/07/2013 9:11 AM
Project # : 0113H226A **Sample Date :** 10/03/2013
Sample Type: Spore Trap, Non-cultured **Spore Trap Type:** Zefon - Air-O-Cell
Test Method: Mold: ASTM D7391-09 - Expanded Profile Page 1 of 2

This report consists of three sections; a summary section, a data detail section, and an analytical notes section. Results may not be reported except in full.

Sample ID:	BB1			BB2			BB3					
Location:	Room 307			Outside			Outside					
Debris Rating:	5			3			3					
Media Expires On:	Feb 2014			Feb 2014			Feb 2014					
Notes Included?:												
Volume:	150			75			75					
	raw ct.	MDL	parts/m ³	raw ct.	MDL	parts/m ³	raw ct.	MDL	parts/m ³			
Agaricus / Agrocybe				14	40.00	560	14	40.00	560			
Alternaria	7	20.00	140	2	40.00	80	4	40.00	160			
Ascospores				34	40.00	1360	48	40.00	1920			
Aspergillus / Penicillium	18	20.00	360	82	40.00	3280	129	40.00	5160			
Basidiospores	78	20.00	1560	378	40.00	15120	456	40.00	18240			
Cercospora / Pseudocercospora				6	40.00	240	2	40.00	80			
Chaetomium												
Cladosporium	19	20.00	380	62	40.00	2480	138	40.00	5520			
Coprinus	4	20.00	80	41	40.00	1640	52	40.00	2080			
Curvularia	13	20.00	260	3	40.00	120	7	40.00	280			
Drechslera / Bipolaris group	12	20.00	240	4	40.00	160	15	40.00	600			
Fibers												
Fusarium							2	40.00	80			
Hyphal / Spore Fragments	23	20.00	460	24	40.00	960	32	40.00	1280			
Insect Parts												
Memnoniella												
Myxomycete / Periconia / Rust / Smut	5	20.00	100	24	40.00	960	34	40.00	1360			
Nigrospora				2	40.00	80						
Pithomyces	1	20.00	20									
Pollen												
Skin												
Stachybotrys												
TOTALS	180		3600	676		27040	933		37320			
Analyst	Rob Greene			Rob Greene			Rob Greene					
Analysis Date	10/7/2013			10/7/2013			10/7/2013					

IAQ Mold Report

Steve Moody Micro Services, LLC

2051 Valley View Lane

Farmers Branch, TX 75234 Phone: (972) 241-8460

Data Detail

DSHS License No.: LAB0117

AIHA EMPAT ID: 102577

Client : Southwest Geoscience - Dallas, TX

Lab Job No. : 13F-10991

Project : Bluebonnet Elementary, Room 307

Report Date : 10/07/2013 9:11 AM

Project # : 0113H226A

Sample Date : 10/03/2013

Sample Type: Spore Trap, Non-cultured

Spore Trap Type: Zefon - Air-O-Cell

Test Method: Mold: ASTM D7391-09 - Expanded Profile

Page 2 of 2

This report consists of three sections; a summary section, a data detail section, and an analytical notes section. Results may not be reported except in full.

Debris Rating Key:

0 - No debris detected.

1 - Trace debris.

2 - Light debris.

3 - Moderate debris.

4 - Substantial debris.

5 - Extensive debris.

6 - Field blank.

NOTE: Debris defined as skin, fibers, pollen grains, insect parts, and/or other non-fungal particles.

IAQ Mold Report

Steve Moody Micro Services, LLC
2051 Valley View Lane
Farmers Branch, TX 75234 Phone: (972) 241-8460

Analytical Notes

DSHS License No.: LAB0117
AIHA EMPAT ID: 102577

Client : Southwest Geoscience - Dallas, TX
Project : Bluebonnet Elementary, Room 307
Project # : 0113H226A
Sample Type: Spore Trap, Non-cultured
Test Method: Mold: ASTM D7391-09 - Expanded Profile

Lab Job No. : 13F-10991
Report Date : 10/07/2013 9:11 AM
Sample Date : 10/03/2013
Spore Trap Type: Zefon - Air-O-Cell

Page 1 of 1

This report consists of three sections; a summary section, a data detail section, and an analytical notes section. Results may not be reported except in full.

Samples Analyzed

Sample No: BB1 : Room 307
Notes: 65% Occluded.

Field Blanks

No discernable field blanks were submitted with this set of samples.

NOTE: All remaining samples suitable for analysis.

Methods

Method: ASTM D7391-09: Categorization and Quantification of Airborne Fungal Structures in an Inertial Impaction Sample by Optical Microscopy.

Calculation: Spores/cubic meter = (Raw spore count)*(MDL)

Note: MDL (Minimum Detection Limit) is calculated based upon 1 raw spore count.

Steve Moody Micro Services recommends two significant figures for calculated values based on ASTM D7391-09.

This report must not be used by the customer to claim product certification, approval, or endorsement by AIHA, ISO, or any agency of the Federal Government.



LAB # 102577

Chain of Custody

Page 1 of 1



Lab Job # 13F-10991 AUG 3
 Lab Job # _____
 Lab Job # _____

Please call in advance for immediate, after-hour, & weekend pricing & availability.
 Turnaround of Culture Samples subject to Culture Growth

ASBESTOS PLM

Bulk 1 day 2 day 3 day 5 day Immediate
 Analyze All Positive Stop

PCM Air (7400) 1 day 2 day 3 day 5 day Immediate
TOTAL DUST (0500/0600) 1 day 2 day

MOLD

Non-culture (Tape / Bulk / Air) 1 day 2 day Immediate
 Air Standard Profile Air Expanded Profile
 Analyze Blanks Yes No
 Culture (Swab / Bulk / Plate) 7-14 day

OTHER: _____

ASBESTOS TEM

Air AHERA Method 6 hr 12hr 24 hr
 Air 7402 (Modified) 1 day 2 day 3 day
 Bulk/Wipe/Micro Vac 1 day 2 day 3 day
 Water 1 day 2 day 3 day
 Analyze Blanks Yes No

BACTERIA

Heterotrophic Plate Count (HPC) 3 day 5 day
 HPC + Gram Stain 3 day 5 day
 HPC + 3 Gram Neg ID 6-8 day
 HPC + 5 Gram Neg ID 6-8 day
 Fecal Coliform (MPN) 3 day
 Total Coliform & E Coli (P/A) 2-3 day

Billing Company / City: Southwest Geoscience
 Submitter's Company: _____
 Submitter's Name: D. Bowden
 Project: Bluebonnet Elementary - Rm 307
 Contact Information: Name: _____
 E-mail Results to: _____
 Invoice Address: _____

of Samples: 3
 Sample Date: 10/3/2013
 Project #: 0113H226A
 Phone #: _____
 Mobile #: _____
 Fax #: _____
 P.O. #: _____

— Please review paperwork and samples before submitting to lab. Unsealed / improperly packaged / damaged / expired samples or excessive administrative requests may incur additional fees—

Notes: _____

Sample #	Sample Description	Vol. / Area if applicable	Location / Notes
BB1	Room 307	150	
BB2	outside	75	
BB3	outside	75	

Released By:	Date / Time: _____	Received By: <u>JC</u>	Date / Time: <u>1:30 P.M.</u>
Released By: _____	Date / Time: _____	Received By: _____	Date / Time: _____

Mold Services Definitions & Limitations/
Standard of Care and Reliance

Mold Services Definitions & Limitations

“Mold” defined. Mold is a general term used to describe various types of single-celled naturally occurring biological organisms occurring worldwide. For purposes of this report (and the Texas Mold Assessment & Remediation Rules), the term “mold” is broadly defined to include any living or dead fungi or related products or parts, including spores, hyphae, and mycotoxins.

Limited Scope of Mold Assessment. The scope of SWG’s mold assessment services as reflected in the Proposal and this report are limited in that (i) they were physically limited to certain portions of the building structure (e.g., the Client identified Investigation Areas); and (ii) limited by accessibility to building materials or components within the Investigation Area(s). In contrast to a Limited Assessment” is a comprehensive assessment, which involves destructive sampling methods and the scope of the assessment typically extending to the entire building structure.

Time sensitive. Mold assessments are essentially a “snap shot in time,” and the results are only relevant at the time of site reconnaissance. Because mold, when biologically active, is a living organism, its presence is influenced and controlled by environmental conditions. Mold assessments, therefore, are “time sensitive” in that the presence and concentration of mold and similar organisms in building structures or in the air is directly influenced by environmental conditions (such as humidity, moisture, nutrients and substrates), whether natural or caused by man, which conditions may vary significantly over relatively short periods of time.

Methodologies. Currently, mold assessment methodologies and protocols in Texas are governed by persuasive guidelines (rather than promulgated federal/state or local regulations). Presently, there is no data that supports a threshold limit or dose-response relationship for exposure to mold aeroallergens, individual pathogens, opportunistic pathogens and/or mycotoxins. The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), the National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) and other non-governmental associations, have not yet established permissible exposure limits (PELs), recommended exposure limits (RELs), or other limit values for aeroallergens. Because no limit values presently exist, SWG will not and cannot represent that the site contains no harmful microbes, mold, fungi, or their metabolites, or other latent conditions beyond those identified by the limited scope of this mold assessment.

Findings limited. Findings from a limited mold assessment are limited because of the nature of the information obtained (e.g., visual reconnaissance of readily accessible areas of building structures, interview information, anecdotal information, and limited sampling data derived from one or more specific sampling events). SWG cannot warrant the accuracy of prior or subsequent information/data, reports and services performed by other firms at the Site. SWG assumes no responsibility or liability for errors in information or data provided by or through the client or third party sources. SWG’s services are not to be construed as legal or medical interpretation or advice.

Moisture Intrusion Limitation. SWG performs mold assessment services and is not a moisture intrusion, HVAC, roofing, plumbing or building envelope specialist. However, during the course of conducting its mold assessment services, SWG will report observed areas of apparent moisture intrusion. SWG does not and will not investigate the cause or causes of such observed moisture intrusion. In the event apparent moisture intrusion is observed, SWG will recommend that the client contact a specialist (i.e., plumbing contractor, building envelope specialist, HVAC contractor, water intrusion specialist, etc.) to assist the client in determining the specific cause or causes of the moisture intrusion and remedial options.

Texas Licensing Requirements. SWG (and/or its personnel) will render the services set forth in this proposal in the capacity of a Texas licensed Mold Assessor. SWG is not licensed as a Mold Remediation Contractor and does not perform mold remediation. As of January 1, 2005, Texas law has required that Mold Assessors and Mold Remediation Contractors be licensed.

Mold Remediation Certificate. For mold remediation projects (above certain size thresholds), applicable Texas law (i.e., Texas Occupation Code Section 1958.54 and T.A.C. Section 295.397 (the Texas Mold Assessment and Remediation Rules), requires that a "Certificate of Mold Remediation" be issued by the Mold Remediation Contractor upon successful completion of the project. This certificate must be provided to property owners no later than the 10th day after the date on which the mold remediation is completed at a property. The Mold Remediation Certificate issued by the Mold Remediation Contractor must include a certification by the Mold Assessor that the mold remediation project has been successfully completed in accordance with the mold remediation protocol.

Be advised that SWG's issuance of a Mold Remediation Certificate upon successful completion of a Mold Remediation project does not mean, warrant or otherwise guarantee that mold will not be subsequently found in any portion of the Investigation Area or the Site. In the event that SWG is engaged to render services in connection with a mold remediation project, SWG will require *Client to provide to SWG a signed certificate prepared by Client's moisture intrusion specialist or appropriate contractor stating that all sources of moisture which resulted in the presence of mold in the Investigation Area have been fully remediated and corrected.*

Standard of Care

SWG performed its Services in accordance with generally accepted practices of the profession undertaken in similar services at the same time and in the same geographical area. No other warranties, expressed or implied, apply to the Services hereunder or this report.

Reliance

SWG's proposal for this project, services and this report have been prepared on behalf of and for the exclusive use of Lewisville Independent School District solely for their use and reliance in assessing the presence of mold in the Investigation Areas of the site. Lewisville Independent School District is the only party to which SWG explained the risks and limitations of the services and was solely involved in shaping the scope of services. Accordingly, reliance on this report by any other party may involve assumptions leading to an unintended interpretation of findings and opinions. With the consent of the client, SWG may offer reliance to third parties

or contract with other parties to develop findings and opinions related to such party's unique risk management concerns. Notwithstanding the foregoing, reliance by any and all third parties upon the proposal, the Services or this report shall be limited in the aggregate to all relying parties to the fair market value of the Services provided by SWG.
