

2351 W. Northwest Hwy., Suite 3321

Dallas, Texas 75220 Ph: (214) 350-5469

Fax: (214) 350-2914

September 12, 2013

Lewisville Independent School District 340 Lake Haven Lewisville, Texas 75057 Attn: Mr. Paul Siddall

Re: Limited Mold Assessment Services

Administration Building

Chad Teague's Office and Reception Area HR 112

1800 Timber Creek Road Flower Mound, Texas SWG Project No. 0113H218

Introduction

Southwest Geoscience (SWG) conducted limited mold assessment activities for the Lewisville Independent School District (Lewisville I.S.D.) within the Administration Building located at 1800 Timber Creek Road in Flower Mound, Texas (hereinafter referred to as the "Site"). The investigation was limited to areas of the Site identified by Lewisville I.S.D. as described below. The assessment was performed by Mr. Clinton S. Jech, a State of Texas licensed Mold Assessment Technician (License #MAT1075), on September 10, 2013. SWG's mold services definitions and limitations are included as an attachment to this report.

Investigation Areas

Lewisville I.S.D. identified the following physical portions of the Site as the target investigation areas ("Investigation Areas") for mold assessment: readily accessible areas within Chad Teague's office and the reception area HR 112. SWG's mold assessment services were limited to the Investigation Area(s) identified by Lewisville, I.S.D. Additional areas or portions of the Site were out-of-scope and not included in SWG's mold assessment or this report at this time.

Scope of Work

As set forth in SWG's Mold Assessment Proposal No. P0113H1320 dated September 9, 2013, SWG's scope-of-work was to provide visual and/or analytical mold assessment and related services in the Investigation Areas which included:

Visual Reconnaissance: SWG performed a visual reconnaissance of the Investigation Areas for visible indications of moisture intrusion (as indicated by staining or visible moisture) and/or suspect mold growth. SWG's visual reconnaissance only included readily accessible or visible portions of the Investigation Areas.

Suspect Mold Growth Sampling and Analysis: SWG collected limited ambient air samples for nonviable mold spores utilizing Air-O-Cell cassettes. "Air-O-Cell" refers to slit impaction air sampling cassettes manufactured by Zefon Analytical Accessories, St. Petersburg, Florida.

Site Reconnaissance Observations/Findings and Recommendations

SWG's Mold Assessment Site reconnaissance was performed on September 10, 2013 by Mr. Clinton S. Jech. SWG's visual reconnaissance of the Investigation areas revealed the following:

Temperature and Relative Humidity

Temperature readings collected inside Chad Teague's office and Reception Area HR 112 ranged from 75.5 to 75.9 degrees Fahrenheit while relative humidity ranged from 43.5 to 46.9 percent. Temperature readings collected outside the building ranged from 95.7 to 96.2 degrees Fahrenheit while outside relative humidity ranged from 41.3 to 45.2 percent.



Relative humidity is a measure of the moisture content of air and is closely tied to the comfort of the office/workplace temperature. As with temperature, there are no regulations governing acceptable office/workplace humidity ranges. Humidity levels in the office/work place are not only related to health effects, but also have operational impacts on modern office equipment.

Workplace/office temperatures have historically been considered a subjective factor because the perception of uncomfortable temperature levels is specific to each individual. There are no regulations governing acceptable office/workplace temperature ranges, but significant research has been conducted which indicates that there are temperature ranges that are not only comfortable but also result in optimum performance. ASHRAE (American Society of Heating, Refrigerating & Air Conditioning Engineers) has published guidelines describing thermal environmental conditions that at least 80% of the persons who occupy that environment will find acceptable or "comfortable." Table I below explains the applicable limits and guidelines.

Table I						
Acceptable Ranges Of Temperature And Humidity						
Relative Humidity	Winter Temperatures	Summer Temperatures				
30%	68.5 to 76°F	74 to 80°F				
40%	68.5 to 75.5°F	73 to 79.5°F				
50%	68.5 to 74.5°F	73 to 79°F				
60%	68 to 74°F	72.5 to 78°F				

SWG utilized a Protimeter Moisture Measurement System (MMS) instrument (Model No. BLD2000) to measure and diagnose dampness in the drywall within random areas. The MMS is a battery powered handheld unit that is equipped with hydrostick probes to measure moisture content in wood, drywall and other and non-conductive materials. The device measures electrical conductivity of building materials and compares the conductivity readings to an internal, electronic standard reading for normal or "dry" materials.

Moisture content readings were obtained by pushing the moisture probe pins into surfaces. The measured values were then displayed on a colored scale depicting if the materials measured were normal (dry), higher than normal but not critical (at risk) or contained excessive moisture levels (wet). Based on the manufacturer's guidelines, the instrument measurement values are described below:

< 5%	Out of Range			
> 5% but < 16%	Normal			
> 17% but < 20%	Higher than Normal but Not Critical			
> 20%	Excessive Moisture Levels			

Moisture meter readings taken from the walls within the investigation areas ranged from 9-12% which is considered normal by the manufacturer.

Air Monitoring Results

SWG collected two (2) samples from the interior of the building and two (2) samples from the exterior of the building. The microbial samples were analyzed by Steve Moody Micro Services, Inc. (SMMS) in Farmers Branch, Texas; SMMS is a State of Texas licensed mold analysis laboratory and accredited under the AIHA Laboratory Quality Assurance Program for Environmental Microbiology.

Lewisville Independent School District SWG Project No. 0113H218 September 12, 2013 Page 3



Chad Teague's Office

Air testing was performed using spore traps found that airborne mold spores in the room were considerably lower and were qualitatively similar to those measured outside of the building at the time the sampling was performed. Total fungal spore concentration within the investigation area was reported as 480 counts/m³ reported, while exterior levels ranged from 12,840 to 13,920 counts/m³.

Two (2) types of mold were identified at a higher concentration within the investigation area as compared to the sample collected from the exterior of the building. Air sample(s) collected within Chad Teague's office reported Curvularia as 20 counts/m³ while no exterior levels where reported. Stachybotrys was reported as 40 counts/m³ within the office however; the same levels were reported outside the building.

Reception Area HR 112

Air testing was performed using spore traps found that airborne mold spores in the room were considerably lower and were qualitatively similar to those measured outside of the building at the time the sampling was performed. Total fungal spore concentration within the investigation area was reported as 620 counts/m³ reported, while exterior levels ranged from 12,840 to 13,920 counts/m³.

Three (3) types of mold were identified at a higher concentration within the investigation area as compared to the sample collected from the exterior of the building. Air sample(s) collected within Reception Area HR 112 reported Alternia as 20 counts/m³ and Curvularia as 40 counts/m³ while no exterior levels where reported. Stachybotrys was reported as 60 counts/m³ within the area while the exterior level was reported as 40 counts/m³.

The American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH) sets forth assessment criteria related to the "indoor/outdoor" relationship where the indoor air quality should be at or below that of outdoor air quality with regard to fungal spores (see ACGIH Bioaerosols, Assessment and Controls publication, 1999).

Suspect Mold

SWG observed no visible mold during the assessment. No odors or excessive dust were noted.

Conclusions and Recommendations

Based on SWG's limited assessment and the analytical results, it appears that the indoor air quality, as it relates to airborne fungi, was within recommended guidelines. Due to the presence of Stachybotrys, further testing may be considered for a higher level of confidence.



If you have any questions regarding this report or if we can assist you with any other matter, please contact the undersigned at (214) 350-5469.

Sincerely,

Southwest Geoscience

Darren G. Bowden Corporate Director

Industrial Hygiene Services

Texas Mold Assessment Consultant

Lic. No. MAC0321

Attachments: Analytical Results/Chain of Custody

Mold Services Definitions & Limitations/Standard of Care and Reliance



Analytical Results/Chain of Custody

Steve Moody Micro Services, LLC

2051 Valley View Lane

DSHS License No.: LAB0117

AIHA EMPAT ID: 102577

Farmers Branch, TX 75234 Phone: (972) 241-8460

Client: Southwest Geoscience - Dallas, TX Lab Job No. 13F-10084

Project: Administration Building, Chad Teague's Office Report Date 09/12/2013 9:05 AM

Project #: 0113H218 **Sample Date :** 09/10/2013

Sample Type: Spore Trap, Non-cultured Spore Trap Type: Zefon - Air-O-Cell

Test Method: Mold: ASTM D7391-09 - Standard Profile Page 1 of 2

On 9/10/2013, four (4) samples were submitted by Clint Jech of Southwest Geoscience - Dallas, TX (located at 2351 W NW Hwy #3321, Dallas, TX 75220) for Spore Trap, Non-cultured mold analysis. This report consists of three sections; a summary section, a data detail section, and an analytical notes section.

Sample Number	Volume (liters)	Sample Description	Identification	Concentration spores/cubic meter	
1 75 Exterior, Southwest Entry		Exterior, Southwest Entry	Ascospores Aspergillus / Penicillium Basidiospores	1520 1400 7000	
			Chaetomium	120	
			Cladosporium	2480	
			Coprinus	40	
			Drechslera / Bipolaris group	40	
			Fusarium	120	
			Ganoderma	40	
			Hyphal / Spore Fragments	920	
			Myxomycete / Periconia / Rust / Smut	200	
			Stachybotrys	40	
			Total:	13920	
2	75	Exterior, South Entry	Agaricus / Agrocybe	120	
			Ascospores	520	
			Aspergillus / Penicillium	1520	
			Basidiospores	6480	
			Cladosporium	2320	
			Drechslera / Bipolaris group	80	
			Fusarium	40	
			Hyphal / Spore Fragments	1280	
			Myxomycete / Periconia / Rust / Smut	440	
			Stachybotrys	40	
			Total:	12840	

Steve Moody Micro Services, LLC DSHS License No.: LAB0117 Summary 2051 Valley View Lane AIHA EMPAT ID: 102577

Farmers Branch, TX 75234 Phone: (972) 241-8460

Lab Job No. 13F-10084 **Client:** Southwest Geoscience - Dallas, TX

Project: Administration Building, Chad Teague's Office **Report Date** 09/12/2013 9:05 AM

Project #: 0113H218 **Sample Date:** 09/10/2013

Sample Type: Spore Trap, Non-cultured Spore Trap Type: Zefon - Air-O-Cell

Test Method: Mold: ASTM D7391-09 - Standard Profile Page 2 of 2

On 9/10/2013, four (4) samples were submitted by Clint Jech of Southwest Geoscience - Dallas, TX (located at 2351 W NW Hwy #3321, Dallas, TX 75220) for Spore Trap, Non-cultured mold analysis. This report consists of three sections; a summary section, a data detail section, and an analytical notes section.

Sample Number	Volume (liters)	Sample Description	Identification	Concentration spores/cubic meter
3	150	Chad Teague's Office	Aspergillus / Penicillium Basidiospores Cladosporium Curvularia Drechslera / Bipolaris group Hyphal / Spore Fragments Stachybotrys	40 180 100 20 20 80 40
			Total:	480
4	150	Reception Area HR112	Alternaria Aspergillus / Penicillium Basidiospores Cladosporium Curvularia Drechslera / Bipolaris group Hyphal / Spore Fragments Stachybotrys	20 60 180 60 40 40 160 60
			Total:	620

Results may not be reported except in full. Data contained in this test report relates only to the samples tested. This report does not express or imply interpretation of the results contained herein. Interpretation should be made by a qualified professional.

Steve Moody Micro Services assumes no responsibility for the manner in which these samples were collected or handled prior to being received at this laboratory. SMMS assumes no responsibility for the qualifications of personnel performing sampling and/or interpretations of this data.

Analyst(s): Rob Greene

Approved Signatory : Bune Sull Lab Director: Bruce Crabb

Thank you for choosing Steve Moody Micro Services

Steve Moody Micro Services, LLC

Data Detail DSHS License No.: LAB0117 2051 Valley View Lane AIHA EMPAT ID: 102577

Farmers Branch, TX 75234 Phone: (972) 241-8460

Client: Southwest Geoscience - Dallas, TX **Lab Job No.:** 13F-10084

Project: Administration Building, Chad Teague's Office **Report Date :** 09/12/2013 9:05 AM

Project #: 0113H218 **Sample Date:** 09/10/2013

Sample Type: Spore Trap, Non-cultured Spore Trap Type: Zefon - Air-O-Cell

Test Method: Mold: ASTM D7391-09 - Standard Profile Page 1 of 1 This report consists of three sections; a summary section, a data detail section, and an analytical notes section. Results may not be reported except in full.

Sample ID:	1			2			3			4			
Location:	Exterior, Southwest Entry		Exterior, South Entry		Chad Teague's Office			Reception Area HR112					
Debris Rating:	3		3		3			4					
Media Expires On:		Apr 20	14	Apr 2014		Apr 2014			Apr 2014				
Notes Included?:													
Volume:	75		75		150		150						
	raw ct.	MDL	spores/m³	raw ct.	MDL	spores/m³	raw ct.	MDL	spores/m³	raw ct.	MDL	spores/m³	
Agaricus / Agrocybe				3	40.00	120							
Alternaria										1	20.00	20	
Ascospores	38	40.00	1520	13	40.00	520							
Aspergillus / Penicillium	35	40.00	1400	38	40.00	1520	2	20.00	40	3	20.00	60	
Basidiospores	175	40.00	7000	162	40.00	6480	9	20.00	180	9	20.00	180	
Chaetomium	3	40.00	120										
Cladosporium	62	40.00	2480	58	40.00	2320	5	20.00	100	3	20.00	60	
Coprinus	1	40.00	40										
Curvularia							1	20.00	20	2	20.00	40	
Drechslera / Bipolaris group	1	40.00	40	2	40.00	80	1	20.00	20	2	20.00	40	
Fusarium	3	40.00	120	1	40.00	40							
Ganoderma	1	40.00	40										
Hyphal / Spore Fragments	23	40.00	920	32	40.00	1280	4	20.00	80	8	20.00	160	
Memnoniella													
Myxomycete / Periconia / Rust / Smut	5	40.00	200	11	40.00	440							
Stachybotrys	1	40.00	40	1	40.00	40	2	20.00	40	3	20.00	60	
TOTALS	348		13920	321		12840	24		480	31		620	
Analyst	Rob Greene		Rob Greene		ene	Rob Greene			Rob Greene				
Analysis Date	!	9/12/20	13	!	9/12/20	12/2013		9/12/2013			9/12/2013		

Debris Rating Key:

- 0 No debris detected.
- 1 Trace debris.
- 2 Light debris.
- 3 Moderate debris.
- 4 Substantial debris.
- 5 Extensive debris.
- 6 Field blank.

NOTE: Debris defined as skin, fibers, pollen grains, insect parts, and/or other non-fungal particles.

Steve Moody Micro Services, LLC Analytical Notes DSHS License No.: LAB0117 2051 Valley View Lane AIHA EMPAT ID: 102577

Farmers Branch, TX 75234 Phone: (972) 241-8460

Client: Southwest Geoscience - Dallas, TX Lab Job No.: 13F-10084

Project: Administration Building, Chad Teague's Office Report Date: 09/12/2013 9:05 AM

Project #: 0113H218 **Sample Date :** 09/10/2013

Sample Type: Spore Trap, Non-cultured Spore Trap Type: Zefon - Air-O-Cell

Test Method: Mold: ASTM D7391-09 - Standard Profile

Page 1 of 1

This report consists of three sections; a summary section, a data detail section, and an analytical notes section. Results may not be reported except in full.

NOTE: No abnormalities or exceptions noted during analysis. All samples suitable for analysis.

NOTE: No discernable field blanks were included with this sample set.

Methods

Method: ASTM D7391-09: Categorization and Quantification of Airborne Fungal Structures in an Inertial Impaction Sample by Optical Microscopy.

Calculation: Spores/cubic meter = (Raw spore count)*(MDL)

Note: MDL (Minimum Detection Limit) is calculated based upon 1 raw spore count.

Steve Moody Micro Services recommends two significant figures for calculated values based on ASTM D7391-09.

This report must not be used by the customer to claim product certification, approval, or endorsement by AIHA, ISO, or any agency of the Federal Government.



LAB # 102577

Chain of Custody



Lab Job #	13F-	10084	40C	4
Lab Job#				
Lab Job#_				

Page of e call in advance for immediate, after-hour, & weekend pricing & availability.* arnaround of Culture Samples subject to Culture Growth** ASBESTOS PLM **ASBESTOS TEM** Bulk 1 day 2 day 3 day 5 day Immediate Air AHERA Method □24 hr □6 hr □12hr ☐ Analyze All ☐ Positive Stop Air 7402 (Modified) 1 day ☐2 day ☐3 day Bulk/Wipe/Micro Vac 1 day ☐3 day 2 day 1 day 2 day 3 day 5 day Immediate **PCM** Air (7400) ☐1 day Water ☐2 day ☐3 day □No Analyze Blanks **□**Yes MOLD **BACTERIA** Non-culture (Tape / Bulk / Air) 2 day 1 day ☐ Immediate Heterotrophic Plate Count (HPC) 3 day Air Standard Profile ☐ Air Expanded Profile HPC + Gram Stain 3 day ☐5 day Analyze Blanks ☐ Yes ☐ No HPC + 3 Gram Neg ID ☐6-8 day ☐6-8 day Culture (Swab / Bulk / Plate) 7-14 day HPC + 5 Gram Neg ID Fecal Coliform (MPN) ☐3 day OTHER: Total Coliform & E Coli (P/A) □2-3 day Billing Company / City: SWG Dulks # of Samples: Submitter's Company: Sample Date: 9/10/2013 Submitter's Name: Clinton S. Jech Project #: 01134218 Project: Administration Building - Cheed Teague's Office Phone #: Contact Information: Name: //int / Darren / Vesanies Mobile #: (972) 981-1031 E-mail Results to: (/ Derre / Weron: ~ Invoice Address: P.O. #: Please review paperwork and samples before submitting to lab. Unsealed / improperly packaged / damaged / expired samples or excessive administrative requests may incur additional fees-Notes: Vol. / Area Sample Description Location / Notes Sample # if applicable 95.7 "H= 41.3 % 75 Exterior. Southwest Entry ١ 46.2 " H= 45.2 75 Exterior, South Entry 2 Chad Teague's office 75.9 " H= 43.5 % 3 150 ME 10-11 % Ce: King = Ceiling Time Wells = Sheutrock /Window Floors = Curpet Reception Area HR 112 T= 75.5 " H=46.7"/ M=9.12" 150 Whiles Sheetrock Cailing Cooking Tre Floor = Corport Received By: Date / Time: Date / Time: Released By: 9/10/2013 Date / Time: Date / Time:



Mold Services Definitions & Limitations/ Standard of Care and Reliance



Mold Services Definitions & Limitations

"Mold" defined. Mold is a general term used to describe various types of singled-celled naturally occurring biological organisms occurring worldwide. For purposes of this report (and the Texas Mold Assessment & Remediation Rules), the term "mold" is broadly defined to include any living or dead fungi or related products or parts, including spores, hyphae, and mycotoxins.

Limited Scope of Mold Assessment. The scope of SWG's mold assessment services as reflected in the Proposal and this report are limited in that (i) they were physically limited to certain portions of the building structure (e.g., the Client identified Investigation Areas); and (ii) limited by accessibility to building materials or components within the Investigation Area(s). In contrast to a Limited Assessment" is a comprehensive assessment, which involves destructive sampling methods and the scope of the assessment typically extending to the entire building structure.

Time sensitive. Mold assessments are essentially a "snap shot in time," and the results are only relevant at the time of site reconnaissance. Because mold, when biologically active, is a living organism, its presence is influenced and controlled by environmental conditions. Mold assessments, therefore, are "time sensitive" in that the presence and concentration of mold and similar organisms in building structures or in the air is directly influenced by environmental conditions (such as humidity, moisture, nutrients and substrates), whether natural or caused by man, which conditions may vary significantly over relatively short periods of time.

Methodologies. Currently, mold assessment methodologies and protocols in Texas are governed by persuasive guidelines (rather than promulgated federal/state or local regulations). Presently, there is no data that supports a threshold limit or dose-response relationship for exposure to mold aeroallergens, individual pathogens, opportunistic pathogens and/or mycotoxins. The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), the National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) and other non-governmental associations, have not yet established permissible exposure limits (PELs), recommended exposure limits (RELs), or other limit values for aeroallergens. Because no limit values presently exist, SWG will not and cannot represent that the site contains no harmful microbes, mold, fungi, or their metabolites, or other latent conditions beyond those identified by the limited scope of this mold assessment.

Findings limited. Findings from a limited mold assessment are limited because of the nature of the information obtained (e.g., visual reconnaissance of readily accessible areas of building structures, interview information, anecdotal information, and limited sampling data derived from one or more specific sampling events). SWG cannot warrant the accuracy of prior or subsequent information/data, reports and services performed by other firms at the Site. SWG assumes no responsibility or liability for errors in information or data provided by or through the client or third party sources. SWG's services are not to be construed as legal or medical interpretation or advice.



Moisture Intrusion Limitation. SWG performs mold assessment services and is not a moisture intrusion, HVAC, roofing, plumbing or building envelope specialist. However, during the course of conducting its mold assessment services, SWG will report observed areas of apparent moisture intrusion. SWG does not and will not investigate the cause or causes of such observed moisture intrusion. In the event apparent moisture intrusion is observed, SWG will recommend that the client contact a specialist (i.e., plumbing contractor, building envelope specialist, HVAC contractor, water intrusion specialist, etc.) to assist the client in determining the specific cause or causes of the moisture intrusion and remedial options.

Texas Licensing Requirements. SWG (and/or its personnel) will render the services set forth in this proposal in the capacity of a Texas licensed Mold Assessor. SWG is not licensed as a Mold Remediation Contractor and does not perform mold remediation. As of January 1, 2005, Texas law has required that Mold Assessors and Mold Remediation Contractors be licensed.

Mold Remediation Certificate. For mold remediation projects (above certain size thresholds), applicable Texas law (i.e., Texas Occupation Code Section 1958.54 and T.A.C. Section 295.397 (the Texas Mold Assessment and Remediation Rules), requires that a "Certificate of Mold Remediation" be issued by the Mold Remediation Contractor upon successful completion of the project. This certificate must be provided to property owners no later than the 10th day after the date on which the mold remediation is completed at a property. The Mold Remediation Certificate issued by the Mold Remediation Contractor must include a certification by the Mold Assessor that the mold remediation project has been successfully completed in accordance with the mold remediation protocol.

Be advised that SWG's issuance of a Mold Remediation Certificate upon successful completion of a Mold Remediation project does not mean, warrant or otherwise guarantee that mold will not be subsequently found in any portion of the Investigation Area or the Site. In the event that SWG is engaged to render services in connection with a mold remediation project, SWG will require *Client to provide to SWG a signed certificate prepared by Client's moisture intrusion specialist or appropriate contractor stating that all sources of moisture which resulted in the presence of mold in the Investigation Area have been fully remediated and corrected.*

Standard of Care

SWG performed its Services in accordance with generally accepted practices of the profession undertaken in similar services at the same time and in the same geographical area. No other warranties, expressed or implied, apply to the Services hereunder or this report.

Reliance

SWG's proposal for this project, services and this report have been prepared on behalf of and for the exclusive use of Lewisville Independent School District solely for their use and reliance in assessing the presence of mold in the Investigation Areas of the site. Lewisville Independent School District is the only party to which SWG explained the risks and limitations of the services and was solely involved in shaping the scope of services. Accordingly, reliance on this report by any other party may involve assumptions leading to an unintended interpretation of findings and opinions. With the consent of the client, SWG may offer reliance to third parties



or contract with other parties to develop findings and opinions related to such party's unique risk management concerns. Notwithstanding the foregoing, reliance by any and all third parties upon the proposal, the Services or this report shall be limited in the aggregate to all relying parties to the fair market value of the Services provided by SWG.