

FINANCIAL INTEGRITY RATING SYSTEM OF TEXAS

Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas (FIRST)

PUBLIC HEARING

November 12, 2018

LEWISVILLE INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

Lewisville Independent School District Schools FIRST Rating Notice of Public Meeting

Published October 28, 2018

LEGAL NOTICE

Notice of Public Meeting to Discuss Lewisville Independent School District's (LISD) State Financial Accountability Rating

LISD will hold a public hearing at 7 p.m. on November 12, 2018, at the Lewisville ISD Administrative Center, 1565A W. Main Street, Lewisville, Texas. The purpose of this meeting is to discuss LISD's rating on the state's financial accountability system.





RATING FOR LISD

Lewisville ISD received the highest rating available:

A = Superior





Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

2017-2018 RATINGS BASED ON SCHOOL YEAR 2016-2017 DATA - DISTRICT STATUS DETAIL

Nam	e: LEWISVILLE ISD (061902)	Publication Level 1: 8/6/2018 2:48:24 PM Publication Level 2: 8/8/2018 12:11:29 PM				
Stat	us: Passed					
Rati	ng: A = Superior	Last Updated: 8/8/2018 12:11:29 PM				
Dist	rict Score: 94					
#	Indicator Description		Updated	Score		
1	Was the complete annual financial report (AFR) and data submitted to 28 deadline depending on the school district's fiscal year end date of J	4/20/2018 8:26:58 AM	Yes			
2	Review the AFR for an unmodified opinion and material 2.A to pass this indicator. The school district fails indica 2.A. or to both indicators 2.A and 2.B.					
2.A	Was there an unmodified opinion in the AFR on the financial statemen <u>Public Accountants (AICPA)</u> defines unmodified opinion. The externa <u>unmodified opinion.</u>)	4/20/2018 8:26:58 AM	Yes			
2.B	Did the external independent auditor report that the AFR was free of a controls over financial reporting and compliance for local, state, or fee	4/20/2018 8:26:58 AM	Yes			
3	Was the school district in compliance with the payment terms of all de was in default in a prior fiscal year, an exemption applies in following or payment plan with the lender and the payments are made on schedu technical defaults that are not related to monetary defaults. A technical covenant, contract, or master promissory note even though payments t agreement is a legal agreement between a debtor (= person, company, includes a plan for paying back the debt.)	4/20/2018 8:26:59 AM	Yes			

#	Indicator Description	Updated	Score
4	Did the school district make timely payments to the Teachers Retirement System (TRS), Texas Workforce Commission (TWC), Internal Revenue Service (IRS), and other government agencies?	4/20/2018 8:26:59 AM	Yes
5	Was the total unrestricted net position balance (Net of the accretion of interest for capital appreciation bonds) in the governmental activities column in the Statement of Net Position greater than zero? (If the school district's change of students in membership over 5 years was 7 percent or more, then the school district passes this indicator.)	4/20/2018 8:26:59 AM	Yes
			1 Multiplier Sum
6	Was the number of days of cash on hand and current investments in the general fund for the school district sufficient to cover operating expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? (See ranges below.)	4/20/2018 8:26:59 AM	10
7	Was the measure of current assets to current liabilities ratio for the school district sufficient to cover short-term debt? (See ranges below.)	4/20/2018 8:27:00 AM	10
8	Was the ratio of long-term liabilities to total assets for the school district sufficient to support long-term solvency? (If the school district's change of students in membership over 5 years was 7 percent or more, then the school district passes this indicator.) (See ranges below.)	4/20/2018 8:27:00 AM	4
9	Did the school district's general fund revenues equal or exceed expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? If not, was the school district's number of days of cash on hand greater than or equal to 60 days?	4/20/2018 8:27:00 AM	10
10	Was the debt service coverage ratio sufficient to meet the required debt service? (See ranges below.)	4/20/2018 8:27:01 AM	10
11	Was the school district's administrative cost ratio equal to or less than the threshold ratio? (See ranges below.)	4/20/2018 8:27:01 AM	10
12	Did the school district not have a 15 percent decline in the students to staff ratio over 3 years (total enrollment to total staff)? (If the student enrollment did not decrease, the school district will automatically pass this indicator.)	6/19/2018 11:08:04 AM	10
13	Did the comparison of Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) data to like information in the school district's AFR result in a total variance of less than 3 percent of all expenditures by function?	4/20/2018 8:27:02 AM	10

#	Indicator Description	Updated	Score
14	Did the external independent auditor indicate the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material noncompliance for grants, contracts, and laws related to local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material noncompliance.)	4/20/2018 8:27:02 AM	10
15	Did the school district not receive an adjusted repayment schedule for more than one fiscal year for an over allocation of Foundation School Program (FSP) funds as a result of a financial hardship?	4/20/2018 8:27:02 AM	10
			94 Weighted Sum
			1 Multiplier Sum
		**************************************	94 Score

DETERMINATION OF RATING

Α.	Did the district answer 'No' to Indicators 1, 3, 4, 5, or 2.A? If so, the school district's rating is F for Substandard Achievement regardless of points earned.							
В.	Determine the rating by the applicable number of points. (Indicators 6-15)							
	A = Superior	90-100						
	B = Above Standard	80-89						
	C = Meets Standard	60-79						
	F = Substandard Achievement	<60						

No Rating = A school district receiving territory that annexes with a school district ordered by the commissioner under TEC 13.054, or consolidation under Subchapter H, Chapter 41. No rating will be issued for the school district receiving territory until the third year after the annexation/consolidation.

THE TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE · AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 · (512) 463-9734



Superintendent's Employment Contract

In lieu of publication of the superintendent's employment contract in the School FIRST rating report, the contract is accessible on the school district's internet site located at:

www.lisd.net/suptcontract





Reimbursements Received by the Superintendent and Board Members For the Twelve-Month Period Ended August 31, 2017

	Su	perintendent	Board Member		Board Member		3oard Iember		Board ⁄lember		Board Member		Board Member		Board Member
Description of	ou	perintendent	Member		Weinber	IV	ICHIDOI		lember		Weinber		Member		Member
Reimbursements	Dr.	Kevin Rogers	Angie Cox	Kr	isti Hassett	Tracy	Scott Miller	Jenn	y Proznik	Kat	herine Sells	Tris	sha Sheffield	Kro	nda Thimesch
Meals	\$	1,241.01	\$ 353.14	\$	264.88	\$	459.81	\$	259.14	\$	483.33	\$	688.84	\$	820.96
Lodging		3,575.28	1,745.88		1,549.86		695.97		1,278.87		1,393.35		2,242.27		2,031.87
Transportation		4,080.08	661.74		1,583.48		499.96		289.78		813.12		923.83		991.76
Other:															
Conference Fees		2,085.00	1,990.00		1,105.00		870.00		1,285.00		\$1,610.00		1,610.00		1,980.00
Membership Fees		450.00	-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Internet Service (Data Plan)		417.89	-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Cell Phone Service		992.95	-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Total	\$	12,842.21	\$ 4,750.76	\$	4,503.22	\$	2,525.74	\$	3,112.79	\$	4,299.80	\$	5,464.94	\$	5,824.59

Note - All "reimbursements" expenses, regardless of the manner of payment, including direct pay, credit card, cash, and purchase orders are to be reported.

Items to be reported per category include:

Meals - Meals consumed off of the school district's premises, and in-district meals at area restaurants (excludes catered board meeting meals). Lodging - Hotel charges.

Transportation - Airfare, car rental (can include fuel on rental), taxis, mileage reimbursements, leased cars, parking and tolls. **Motor fuel** - Gasoline.

Other - Registration fees, telephone/cell phone, internet service, fax machine, and other reimbursements (or on-behalf of) to the superintendent and board member not defined above.



Outside Compensation and/or Fees Received by the Superintendent For Professional Consulting and/or Other Personal Services For the Twelve-Month Period Ended August 31, 2017

Name(s) of Entity(ies)

Amount Received

\$

None

None

Total

Note: Compensation does not include business revenues generated from a family business (farming, ranching, etc...) that has no relation to school district business.





DISCLOSURE #4

Gifts Received by Executive Officers and Board Members (and First Degree Relatives, if any)

For the Twelve-Month Period Ended August 31, 2017

Superintendent/ Board Member	Position	Gifts>\$250 aggregate value
Dr. Kevin Rogers	Superintendent	None
Angie Cox	Board Member	None
Kristi Hassett	Board Member	None
Tracy Scott Miller	Board Member	None
Jenny Proznik	Board Member	None
Katherine Sells	Board Member	None
Trisha Sheffield	Board Member	None
Kronda Thimesch	Board Member	None

Note - An executive officer is defined as the superintendent, unless the board of trustees or the district administration names additional staff under this classification for local officials.



Business Transactions Between School District and Board Members For the Twelve-Month Period Ended August 31, 2017

	Board Member	Board Member	Board Member	Board Member	Board Member	Board Member	Board Member	
	Angie Cox	Kristi Hassett	Tracy Scott Miller	Jenny Proznik	Katherine Sells	Trisha Sheffield	Kronda Thimesch	
For Period Ended August 31, 2017	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Summary Amounts:	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	<u>s -</u>	/
×××								
ISD				/		/		



DISCLOSURE #6

OTHER INFORMATION For the Twelve-Month Period Ended August 31, 2017

Lewisville Independent School District received the Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting from:

- Government Finance Officers Association
- Association of School Business Officials International





2016-2017 Ratings for LISD Based on School Year 2015-2016 Data

Previous year's financial accountability ratings





Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

2016-2017 RATINGS BASED ON SCHOOL YEAR 2015-2016 DATA - DISTRICT STATUS DETAIL

Nam	e: LEWISVILLE ISD (061902)	Publication Level 1: 8/8/2017 2:29:29 P	Publication Level 1: 8/8/2017 2:29:29 PM Publication Level 2: 8/8/2017 2:29:29 PM				
Stat	us: Passed	Publication Level 2: 8/8/2017 2:29:29 P					
Rati	ng: A = Superior	Last Updated: 11/3/2017 9:11:07 AM					
Dist	rict Score: 92	Passing Score: 60	Passing Score: 60				
#	Indicator Description	Updated	Score				
1	Was the complete annual financial report (AFR) and data su 28 deadline depending on the school district's fiscal year en-	3/28/2017 11:23:30 AM	Yes				
2	Review the AFR for an unmodified opinion and r 2.A to pass this indicator. The school district fai 2.A. or to both indicators 2.A and 2.B.						
2.A	Was there an unmodified opinion in the AFR on the financia Public Accountants (AICPA) defines unmodified opinion. T unmodified opinion.)	3/28/2017 11:23:30 AM	Yes				
2.B	Did the external independent auditor report that the AFR was controls over financial reporting and compliance for local, st	3/28/2017 11:23:30 AM	Yes				
3	was in default in a prior fiscal year, an exemption applies in or payment plan with the lender and the payments are made technical defaults that are not related to monetary defaults.	payments to the lender, trust, or sinking fund are current. A debt	3/28/2017 11:23:31 AM	Yes			

#	Indicator Description	Updated	Score
4	Did the school district make timely payments to the Teachers Retirement System (TRS), Texas Workforce Commission (TWC), Internal Revenue Service (IRS), and other government agencies?	3/28/2017 11:23:31 AM	Yes
5	Was the total unrestricted net position balance (Net of the accretion of interest for capital appreciation bonds) in the governmental activities column in the Statement of Net Position greater than zero? (If the school district's change of students in membership over 5 years was 10 percent or more, then the school district passes this indicator.)	3/28/2017 11:23:31 AM	Yes
			1 Multiplier Sum
6	Was the number of days of cash on hand and current investments in the general fund for the school district sufficient to cover operating expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? (See ranges below.)	3/28/2017 11:23:32 AM	10
7	Was the measure of current assets to current liabilities ratio for the school district sufficient to cover short-term debt? (See ranges below.)	3/28/2017 11:23:32 AM	6
8	Was the ratio of long-term liabilities to total assets for the school district sufficient to support long-term solvency? (If the school district's change of students in membership over 5 years was 10 percent or more, then the school district passes this indicator.) (See ranges below.)	3/28/2017 11:23:32 AM	6
9	Did the school district's general fund revenues equal or exceed expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? If not, was the school district's number of days of cash on hand greater than or equal to 60 days?	3/28/2017 11:23:33 AM	10
10	Was the debt service coverage ratio sufficient to meet the required debt service? (See ranges below.)	11/3/2017 9:11:01 AM	10
11	Was the school district's administrative cost ratio equal to or less than the threshold ratio? (See ranges below.)	3/28/2017 11:23:33 AM	10
12	Did the school district not have a 15 percent decline in the students to staff ratio over 3 years (total enrollment to total staff)? (If the student enrollment did not decrease, the school district will automatically pass this indicator.)	6/21/2017 8:16:11 PM	10
13	Did the comparison of Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) data to like information in the school district's AFR result in a total variance of less than 3 percent of all expenditures by function?	3/28/2017 11:23:34 AM	10

#	Indicator Description	Updated	Score
14	Did the external independent auditor indicate the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material noncompliance for grants, contracts, and laws related to local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material noncompliance.)	3/28/2017 11:23:34 AM	10
15	Did the school district not receive an adjusted repayment schedule for more than one fiscal year for an over allocation of Foundation School Program (FSP) funds as a result of a financial hardship?	3/28/2017 11:23:35 AM	10
			92 Weighted Sum
			1 Multiplier Sum
			92 Score

DETERMINATION OF RATING

А.	Did the district answer ' No ' to Indicators 1, 3, 4, 5, or 2.A? If so, the school district's rating is F for Substandard Achievement regardless of points earned.							
в.	Determine the rating by the applicable number of points. (Indicators 6-15)							
	A = Superior	90-100						
	B = Above Standard	80-89						
	C = Meets Standard	60-79						
	F = Substandard Achievement	<60						

No Rating = A school district receiving territory that annexes with a school district ordered by the commissioner under TEC 13.054, or consolidation under Subchapter H, Chapter 41. No rating will be issued for the school district receiving territory until the third year after the annexation/consolidation.



REAL INNOVATION LIMITLESS OPPORTUNITY

LISD

LEWISVILLE INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT